



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,925	12/13/2001		Bok-Ki Kim	678-770(P9837)	2218	
28249	7590	09/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD.				RAMAKRISHN	RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
UNIONDAI				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2643		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
		10/021,925	KIM ET AL.				
Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643				
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If the period for rep  - If NO period for rep;  - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 THS from the mailing date of this communication. ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ly is specified above, the maximum statutory period win the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
Status							
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this	ve to communication(s) filed on <u>13 December</u> is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This is application is in condition for allowant accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the practice o	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		<b>3</b>			
Disposition of Cla	ims						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-8 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed.  1-8 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Paper	s						
9)☐ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examiner						
10)□ The drawi	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
• •	may not request that any objection to the d	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ·				
=	ent drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Exa		•	i).			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)l 1.⊠ Ce 2.□ Ce 3.□ Co app	dgment is made of a claim for foreign and Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority documents rtified copies of the priority documents pies of the certified copies of the priorical copies of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/021,925

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Hibino (JP02000152323A).

Regarding claim 1, Hibino discloses a method for generating an alert sound in a portable telephone, comprising: generating the alert sound in a low level when an incoming call is received, and raising the level of the alert signal after a certain time period (fig. 1, see abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demuro et al. (US PAT: 5,404,582, hereinafter Demuro) in view of Hibino.

Regarding claim 3, Demuro discloses a method of adjusting the level of an alert sound in a portable telephone which has a flip or folder-type cover and a cover hatch sensor for detecting whether the cover is open or shut, comprising the steps of: determining if the cover (112, figs. 1-2) is open when an incoming call is received,

----

Art Unit: 2643

generating an alert sound in a normal level, if the cover is not open, generating the alert sound in a low level set lower than the normal level, if the cover is open (figs. 1-2, col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 28, col. 7 lines 10-57).

Regarding claim 6, Demuro discloses an apparatus for adjusting the level of an alert sound in a portable telephone having a flip or folder-type cover, the apparatus comprising: a cover hatch sensor (158, fig. 1) for detecting if the cover is open, an audio processing unit (reads on 270, fig. 3) for generating and outputting alert sound via a speaker (292, fig. 3, col. 7 lines 10-29), a controller (242, fig. 3) for determining if the cover is open when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in normal level through control of the audio processing unit if the cover is not open, generating the alert sound in a low level set lower than the normal level through the control of sound processing unit if the cover is open ((figs. 1-2, col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 28, col. 7 lines 10-57).

Demuro differs from claims 3 and 6 in that he does not teach the following: adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal after certain time.

However, Hibino teaches the following: adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal after certain time (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Demuro's system to provide for the following: adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal after certain time as this arrangement would facilitate to get the attention of the user as to the incoming call, there by making sure call alert is noticed by the user of the telephone.

Art Unit: 2643

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishibashi (JP02000270048A) in view of Hibino.

Regarding claim 2, Ishibashi discloses a method for adjusting an alert sound in a telephone, comprising: determining if an alert sound adjusting mode is set when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in a normal level, if the alert sound adjusting mode is not set, generating the alert sound in a level lower than the normal level, if the alert sound adjusting mode is set, and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain time period (fig. 1, see abstract).

Ishibashi differs from claim 2 in that he does not explicitly teach the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls.

However, Hibino teaches the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ishibashi's system to provide for the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls as this arrangement would facilitate user mobility as is well known in the art.

- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karaki et al. (JP 403196745A, hereinafter Karaki) in view of Hibino.
- Regarding claim 4, Karaki discloses a method of generating an alert sound having a proximity sensor installed in a receiver for detecting if human body is adjacent to the receiver within a predetermined distance, the method comprising the following steps of: determining if the human body is adjacent to the receiver when an incoming

Art Unit: 2643

call is received, generating an alert sound in a normal level, if the human body is not detected adjacent to the receiver, generating the alert sound in low level lower than the normal level, if the human body is detected adjacent to the receiver, and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain time period (fig. 1, see abstract).

Karaki differs from claim 4 in that he does not teach the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls.

However, Hibino teaches the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Karaki's system to provide for the following: portable telephone for receiving telephone calls as this arrangement would facilitate user mobility as is well known in the art.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karaki in view of Demuro.

Regarding claim 7, Karaki discloses an apparatus for adjusting the level of an alert sound in a telephone, the apparatus comprising: a proximity sensor (100, fig. 2) installed in a receiver for detecting if a human body is adjacent to the receiver within a predetermined distance, an audio processing unit (reads on 111, fig. 2) for generating and outputting the alert sound via a speaker (112, fig. 2), a controller (90, fig. 2) for inspecting if the human body is adjacent to the receiver when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in a normal level through the control of audio

Art Unit: 2643

processing unit if the human body is not detected adjacent, to the receiver, generating the alert sound in a low level lower than the normal level through the control of the audio processing unit if the human body is detected adjacent to the receiver, and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain period of time (fig. 1, see abstract).

Karaki differs from claim 7 in that he does not teach the following: flip or foldertype cover for telephone for communications.

However, Demuro teaches the following: flip or folder-type cover for telephone for communications (figs. 1-2).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Karaki's system to provide for the following: flip or folder-type cover for telephone for communications as this arrangement would facilitate user mobility as is well known in the art.

8. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demuro in view of Karaki.

Regarding claim 5, Demuro discloses the following: determining if the cover is open when the incoming call is received, generating the alert sound level in normal level ,if the cover is not open (figs. 1-2, col. 5, line 52 – col. 6, line 27).

Regarding claim 8, Demuro discloses the following: a cover hatch sensor (158, fig. 1) for detecting if the cover is open, an audio processing unit (270, fig. 3) for generating and outputting the alert sound via a speaker (292, fig. 3), a controller for inspecting if the cover is open when incoming call is received, generating the alert

Art Unit: 2643

sound in a normal level through control of audio processing unit if the cover is open (col. 7 lines 10-57).

Demuro differs from claims 5 and 8 in that he does not teach the following: a proximity sensor installed in a receiver for detecting if a human body is adjacent to the receiver within a predetermined distance, a controller for inspecting if the human body is adjacent to the receiver when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in a normal level through the control audio processing unit if the human body is not detected adjacent to the receiver, generating the alert sound in a low level lower than the normal level though control of audio processing unit if the human body adjacent to receiver and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain time period.

However, Karaki teaches the following: a proximity sensor (100, fig. 2) installed in a receiver for detecting if a human body is adjacent to the receiver within a predetermined distance, a controller (90, fig. 2) for inspecting if the human body is adjacent to the receiver when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in a normal level through the control audio processing unit (111, fig. 2) if the human body is not detected adjacent to the receiver, generating the alert sound in a low level lower than the normal level though control of audio processing unit if the human body adjacent to receiver and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain time period (fig. 2, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Demuro's system to provide for the following: : a

Art Unit: 2643

proximity sensor installed in a receiver for detecting if a human body is adjacent to the receiver within a predetermined distance, a controller for inspecting if the human body is adjacent to the receiver when an incoming call is received, generating the alert sound in a normal level through the control audio processing unit if the human body is not detected adjacent to the receiver, generating the alert sound in a low level lower than the normal level though control of audio processing unit if the human body adjacent to receiver and adjusting the level of the alert sound to the normal level after a certain time period as this arrangement would facilitate controlling call alert signals based on detecting whether user is present or not at the telephone as taught by Karaki, thus enhancing user convenience.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,925 Page 9

Art Unit: 2643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643